INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE:			Philadelphia						
FILE NUMBER:			CENAP-OP-R-200601093						
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Way			ayne G.	nyne G. Poppich				2/7/2007	
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:				In the of			/N) Date:	2/6/2005	,
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:				At the pi	roject site	<u> </u>	/N) Date:	2/6/2007	
State:					<u>I</u>	PA.			
County: Monroe									
Center coordinates of	site by lat	itude & lo	ngitudin	al coordina	ites: I	LAT. 41-21-0	0, LONG. 74-	46-45	
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 277									
Name of waterway or watershed:						Crawfor	d Branch		
SITE CONDITIONS:									
Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	ac 25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unknown
Lake	П	П	П		П	П	П		П
River		Ī	Ħ	16				 	17
Stream	Ħ		Ħ	16			Ħ	+ 	
Dry Wash	H	++	H	++	++	- 	ᅥ片	++	1 1
	 	+ =	H	ᆉ				++-	++
Mudflat			ᆛ	+				+	+=
Sandflat								Ш	
Wetlands		x							
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow		П				П	П		П
Playa lake	П	ī	Ħ	一	一	一一一	Ē	一百	Ī
Vernal pool	Ħ	H	Ħ	+ =	- H		Ħ	+=	+=
•	H	++	H	++	ᅥ片	- 	ᅥ片	++	ᅥ片
Natural pond	님	 		ᆛᆛ					
Other water (identify type)									
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best deaquatic resource area.	escribe typ	e of isolate	d, non-na	vigable, intra	a-state water	present and be	st estimate for s	ize of non-jur	isdictional
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	_		Able To Make Determination
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?									
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines? Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?									
				님	<u> </u>	 	 		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?					\boxtimes	<u> </u>		\Box	
¹ Check appropriate boxes that best denavigable, intra-state aquatic resource	escribe pot e area.	ential for a	pplicabili	ty of the Mig	ratory Bird	Rule to apply to	onsite, non-jur	isdictional, is	olated, non-
TYPE OF DETERMINATION:				P	reliminary		Or Appro	oved <u></u>	₫ .

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

SITE CONDITIONS – The 277 acre study area consist of both federally regulated and non-regulated areas. Within the 277 acre site inspected area exists 2.809 acres of wetland areas. There are five isolated wetland areas. Wetland B – 0.41 acre, wetland c – 0.08 acre, wetland H – 0.27 acre, wetland I 0.94 acre and wetland K – 1.109 acres. The site is located adjacent to Pine Hill Road, Westfall Township, Pike County, Pennsylvania. These five wetland areas within the study area were determined to be non-jurisdictional. Enclosure 2

RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION OF NO JURISDICTION – All five wetland areas are separated by a natural upland barriers. There is no surface water connection. Their distances and higher elevation separate them from the nearest regulated watercourses and place them in the landscape as isolated according to the findings of the consultant and this office.

WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES – According to 33 CFR 328.3(a), where waters of the United States are defined, the undersigned has found that these wetlands are not waters of the United States. These wetlands are not tidal by definition. They are not an interstate waters. They are not a intrastate waters that could be degraded by, or degrade other waters of the United States. There is no evidence that these wetlands have been used by interstate or foreign travelers, or have been used for recreational purposes. These five wetlands are not shellfish habitat and therefore, shellfish cannot be harvested from them. There is no evidence that these wetlands could be used for industrial purposes or industries in interstate commerce. These five wetlands are not an impoundment. These wetlands are not a tributary to a waters of the United States and are not a part of the territorial seas. These wetlands are not considered adjacent to the nearest tributary stream. The size of the wetland, its distance and elevation differences indicate that the Corps asserts no jurisdiction over this wetland.

See the Field Memorandum for the Record (MFR) dated February 6, 2007 and the consultant's data sheets that describe the findings for these wetlands.

Enclosure 2